REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	19 August 2004
Name of applicant/court:	The District Court at Papakura
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	02.jpg
Other Known Title(s):	Not Stated
Director(s):	Not Applicable
Producer(s):	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Stated
Author(s):	Not Stated
Format:	Computer Image File
Country of Origin:	Not Stated
Language(s):	Not Applicable

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running Time:
Trailers:	Running Time:
	Total Running Time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The computer image file entitled *02.jpg* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication promotes and supports bestiality.

The publication depicts bestiality. For those with an interest in bestiality and inclined to see bestiality as sexually arousing, the publication serves to validate and legitimise that interest.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is also a reasonable limitation on the freedom of expression that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to limit the availability of publications that promote and support bestiality.

OFLC No: 401113